

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/583,952	05/31/2000	Kousuke Anzai	566.38616X00	2316
20457 7590 05/06/2004 ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			EXAMINER.	
			LE, BRI	LE, BRIAN Q
1300 NORTH S	SEVENTEENTH STREET	Γ		•
SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			2623	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	· \\

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		Applicant(s)			
	09/583,952	ANZAI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	xaminer	Art Unit			
	Brian Q Le	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea Period for Reply	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply wit If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, car Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing da earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	a). In no event, however, may a reply be time thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Marc 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Exp 	etion is non-final. e except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elements.					
Application Papers		•			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2000 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	wing(s) be held in abeyance. See is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2623

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/25/2004 has been entered.

Response to Amendment and Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see page 21 of the amendment, filed 03/25/2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-2, 9, 10, 17-18 under Miyahara U.S. Patent No. 6,341,350 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of disclosed prior arts/background of the invention of Miyahara U.S. Patent No. 6,341,350.

Thus, the rejections of all of the claims are maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 9-12, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the

Art Unit: 2623

original disclosure. If alternative elements are positively recited in the specification, they may be explicitly excluded in the claims. See In re Johnson, 558 F.2d 1008, 1019, 194 USPQ 187, 196 (CCPA 1977) ("[the] specification, having described the whole, necessarily described the part remaining."). See also Ex parte Grasselli, 231 USPQ 393 (Bd. App. 1983), aff 'd mem., 738 F.2d 453 (Fed. Cir. 1984). The mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion. Any claim containing a negative limitation which does not have basis in the original disclosure should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement (see 2173.05 (i)). The concept of "said location of said areas G thus located repeatedly is not dependent on said digital watermark information" does not have the support in the original disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-10, 12-14, 16-18, 20-22, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyahara U.S. Patent No. 6,341,350.

Referring to claim 1, Miyahara teaches a method embedding digital watermark information (Abstract, first 6 lines) $b_1 - b_n$ (2 \leq n) in image data, comprising steps of:

Dividing the image data into a plurality of areas S (The whole figure, 6 x 4) each consisting of M x N ($1 \le M$, N) pixels (FIG. 3);

Defining an area G (8 x 8 block) consisting of P x Q ($1 \le P$, Q) of the areas S (FIG. 3);

Art Unit: 2623

Allocating each of the areas S constituting said area G to some one of: areas $T_1 - T_n$ whose pixel values are changed (the gray blocks) and areas $H_1 - H_m$ ($1 \le m$) whose pixel values are not changed (the white blocks)(FIG. 8) (code bit string or code bit pattern within image data where some areas of the image will be embedded/changed with watermarking with bit of information 0 and 1 and other area will be remained with regular data of the image with also bit information 0 and 1) (FIG. 3; FIG. 6, "code bit string"; FIG. 8; FIG. 10; FIG. 11; FIG. 12, S42; S44; FIG. 13);

Corresponding each of said T1-Tn whose pixel values are changed, to each of said digital watermark information b1 – bn and changing the pixel value of each area T according to a bit value (FIG. 2, "Accompanying Information Signal f", element 11, element 101);

Locating one or more areas T and one or more areas H in a predetermined arrangement in said area G (watermark pattern is a predetermined arrangement) (FIG. 8); and

Locating the plurality of areas G in a predetermined rule (column 5, lines 31-44). However, Miyahara does not explicitly teach the method of locating said area G repeatedly wherein said location of said areas G thus located repeatedly is not dependent on said digital watermark information. However as disclosed in the prior art section/background of the invention, there is an existed method discloses by Miyahara wherein a repeated area pattern of locations is not dependent on said digital watermark information (column 6, lines 65-67 and column 7, lines 1-11). Modifying Miyahara's method of embedding digital watermark information in image data according to prior teachings would be able to prevent the original signal information from being changed by the digital watermark information. This would

Art Unit: 2623

improve processing and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Miyahara.

For claim 2, please refer for claim 1 for the explanation. Furthermore, Miyahara discloses areas $J_1 - J_k$ ($1 \le k$) in which information $p_1 - p_k$ ($1 \le k$) specifying an embedding format for embedding said digital watermark information $b_1 - b_n$ in said areas $T_1 - T_{n,}$, and areas H1 – Hm (a block where code image data is located) (column 7, lines 45-46 and column 19, lines 10-13).

Regarding claim 4, Miyahara teaches the method of embedding digital watermark information wherein each of said areas G includes a plurality of said areas H that have been allocated so as to be asymmetric (FIG. 3) in vertical and horizontal directions in the are G.

For claim 5, please refer to claim 1 for the explanation. In addition, Miyahara teaches the method of extracting digital watermark information (the detection of watermarking by using decoder and detector) (FIG. 32, elements 21 and 22) and the extracting the digital watermark information b1- bn from the recognized areas T1 – Tn (FIG. 14, S64 and S66).

Regarding claim 6, please refer back to claim 2 for the explanation. Also, Miyahara discloses the method wherein recognizing the embedding format of the digital watermark information and extracting the digital watermark information according to the recognized embedding format (formatter provides the format information so that the encoder/embeds watermark and decoder/extracts watermark information so that the encoder and the decode would have the same format configuration) (column 20, lines 24-27).

Art Unit: 2623

For claim 8, please refer back to claims 4 and 1 for the explanation. Plus, Miyahara teaches contents of image processing carried out on the image data are judged (column 13, lines 60-63)

For claim 9, please refer to claim 1. Also, Miyahara teaches a program (column 20, line 61) product and a computer reader storage medium (column 9, lines 48-50).

For claim 10, please refer back to claim 2 and claim 9 respectively for the explanation.

For claim 12, please refer back to claim 4 and claim 9 respectively for the explanation.

Regarding claim 13, please refer to claim 1 and claim 9 for the explanation.

For claim 14, please refer back to claim 6 and claim 9 for the explanation.

For claim 16, please refer back to claims 8 and 9 respectively for the explanation.

For claim 17, please refer to claim 1 for the explanation.

Regarding claim 18, please refer to claim 2 for the explanation.

Regarding claim 20, please refer back to claim 4 for the explanation.

Regarding claim 21, please refer back to claim 1 for the explanation.

Regarding claim 22, please refer back to claim 6 for the explanation.

Regarding claim 24, please refer back to claim 8 for further explanation.

Regarding claim 25, please refer to claim 2 for the explanation. Also, Miyahara teaches the processor (column 6, lines 42) and storage unit (column 20, line 65).

For claim 26, please refer back to claim 22 and claim 25 for the explanation.

Art Unit: 2623

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3, 7, 11, 15, 19, and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Q Le whose telephone number is 703-305-5083. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M - 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 703-308-6604. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5397 for regular communications and 703-308-5397 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

BL April 29, 2004

> SAMIR AHMED PRIMARY EXAMINER